

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

08 MAY 22 PM 2: 58

This space for  
Clerk's Office File StampCLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Burns

FROM: J. Paris, Deputy Clerk BY: RECEIVED DATE: 5/20/08

CASE NO.: 08cv700 DOCUMENT FILED BY: CEY-01

CASE TITLE: CEY-01 v. Bank of America

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Amended Complaint

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	OTHER: Documents not timely

The Plaintiff in this case is "CEY-01, an Unincorporated Association." For reasons stated in the Court's order of April 21, 2008, Plaintiff may not abandon its suit and assign this case number to a new plaintiff without some satisfactory explanation. See Date forwarded: 5/20/08

Order of 4/21/08 at 2:14 **ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: LARRY ALAN BURNS  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

By: [Signature]

Dated: 5-21-08  
cc: All Parties

1 Perlita Bautista, Movant/Intervenor  
2 4846 Sea Water Lane  
3 San Diego, CA 92154  
4 619-863-3669

**REJECTED**

5 **IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

8 Perlita Bautista, Pro se  
9 Plaintiff

10 Vs.

11 BANK OF AMERICA  
12 Defendant

)  
)  
) CIVIL ACTION NO.  
) 08 CV 0700 LAB (LSP)  
)

) **AMENDED COMPLAINT**  
) **FOR DAMAGES**  
)  
)

14  
15 **AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

16 With Supplemental of Jurisdiction

17 Pursuant to 28 USC 1367

18  
19 COMES NOW PERLITA BAUTISTA, Plaintiff as above stated with the following  
20 Petition of Complaint for Damages concerning the real property of the petitioner know as 1815  
21 Sunrise Bluff Drive, San Diego CA 92154. This Complaint for Damages is set forth on the  
22 following grounds with;  
23

24 **APPLICABLE LAW**

25 The following Applicable Statues are set forth in this as Mandatory Authority;

26  
27 **Mandatory Authority**  
28